

Implementation Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved

Overall Rating:	Satisfactory
Decision:	Continue as planned: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. All management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.
Portfolio/Project Number:	00132020
Portfolio/Project Title:	Intervención territorial Unidad Investigación Acusación
Portfolio/Project Date:	2020-11-16 / 2023-04-30

Strategic**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

1. Is the project pro-actively identifying changes to the external environment and incorporating them into the project strategy?

- 3: The project team has identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives and the assumptions have been tested to determine if the project's strategy is still valid. There is evidence that the project board has considered the implications, and documented any changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project team has identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes may not have been fully integrated in the project. (both must be true)*
- 1: The project team may have considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team has considered changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

En el marco del proyecto se aplicó una encuesta de percepción dirigida a víctimas, comparecientes ante la JEP que permite evidenciar el trabajo desarrollado por la UIA y las Oficinas territoriales de la JEP las cuales son apoyadas en su gestión y en el desarrollo de sus actividades por parte del PNUD que representan nuevas oportunidades de trabajo y permitirán lograr los cambios que se esperan en la implementación del Proyecto. Con los resultados de la aplicación de este instrumento, desarrollaremos una estrategia de acompañamiento y mejoramiento continuo de las necesidades y relacionamiento cercano, fortalecimiento en temas de pedagogía sobre la JEP, el proceso de paz, entre otros, protección y seguridad para acercarse a la UIA-JEP, Presencialidad de los trámites y las diligencias en centros poblados y lugares lejanos.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	1.InformeCualitativoPercepciónUIA-JEP2022_13849_201 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/1.InformeCualitativoPercepciónUIA-JEP2022_13849_201.pdf)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:12:00 AM

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- 3: *The project responds at least one of the development settings³ as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopts at least one Signature Solution⁴ and the project's RRF includes at all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project responds to one of the three areas of **development work¹** as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: While the project may respond to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

El proyecto se alinea al Plan Estratégico del PNUD dado que su implementación busca el fortalecimiento de las capacidades, funciones y financiamiento de l estado de derecho y las instituciones y sistemas n acionales de derechos humanos para ampliar el acceso a la justicia y combatir la discriminación, especi almente desplegando atención por parte de la JEP en todo el territorio nacional y brindando el acompa ñamiento que se requiere a víctimas y comparecient es. Específicamente, el proyecto contribuye al Resul tado 2: Acelerar las transformaciones estructurales para el desarrollo sostenible y de manera particular con el Producto 2.2.3 Fortalecimiento de las capacid ades, funciones y financiamiento del estado de dere cho y de las instituciones y sistemas nacionales de derechos humanos para ampliar el acceso a la justi cia y combatir la discriminación, con un enfoque en l as mujeres y otros grupos marginados.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	2.Alineacionmarcoderesultados_13849_202 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QA/FormDocuments/2.Alineacionmarcoderesultados_13849_202.docx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:12:00 AM

Relevant

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

3. Are the project’s targeted groups being systematically engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remains relevant for them?

- 3: Systematic and structured feedback has been collected over the past two years from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project’s monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups are active members of the project’s governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- 2: Targeted groups have been engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, has been collected over the past year to ensure the project is addressing local priorities. This information has been used to inform project decision making. (all must be true)
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected over the past year, but this information has not been used to inform project decision making. This option is also selected if no beneficiary feedback has been collected.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Se ha recopilado información de beneficiarios del proyecto y fue insumo para construir el marco muestral de la encuesta de percepción. Los resultados de esta encuesta permitirán brindar insumos que permitan mejorar el acompañamiento y mejorar el acceso a la justicia restaurativa de víctimas y comparecientes que se encuentran en diferentes territorios del país mejorando el acceso y su participación en los procesos y en los macro casos que desarrolla la JEP.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	3.BasededatosBeneficiariosinIDConfidencial_13849_203 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/3.BasededatosBeneficiariosinIDConfidencial_13849_203.xlsx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:12:00 AM

4. Is the project generating knowledge and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring have been discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 2: *Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, have been considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)*
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned have been collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this has informed project decision making.

Evidence:

Se están consolidando las lecciones aprendidas identificadas hasta el momento con el equipo de la UI A/JEP para contribuir a incentivar la participación en la justicia restaurativa por parte de las víctimas y comparecientes. Adicionalmente, se viene adelantando un trabajo para mejorar las herramientas de acompañamiento y de acceso a la justicia para que se les facilite los trámites, el acceso a contribuir en los procesos, especialmente comunidades étnicas que han sido caracterizadas con mayor dificultad para acceder a los servicios.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	4.Leccionesaprendiadasproyecto30_06_22_13849_204 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/4.Leccionesaprendiadasproyecto30_06_22_13849_204.pdf)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:13:00 AM

5. Is the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- 3: There is credible evidence that the project is reaching a sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.
- 2: *While the project is currently not at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).*
- 1: The project is not at scale, and there are no plans currently to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence:

Actualmente se están surtiendo los trámites correspondientes para ampliar el proyecto vigente a través de un nuevo acuerdo donde se garantice la ampliación por parte de la Unidad de Investigación y Acusación - UIA disponga de una mayor presencia territorial y establezca mecanismos canales de acceso, intervención y comunicación con las víctimas, representantes y organizaciones de los procesos judiciales que lleva a su cargo. En consonancia con ello, la entidad ampliará y fortalecerá sus servicios a través de la ubicación de más grupos territoriales con el objetivo de promover un mayor acceso a las víctimas y otorgar una mejor respuesta en términos de oportunidad y que pueda contribuir especialmente a mejorar la participación de comunidades étnicas.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	5.PPT_COMITÉDIRECTIVOJEP16_08_22NUEVOACUERDO132020_13849_205 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormsDocuments/5.PPT_COMITÉDIRECTIVOJEP16_08_22NUEVOACUERDO132020_13849_205.pptx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:14:00 AM

Principled

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

6. Are the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and producing the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes have been made.

- 3: The project team has systematically gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: *The project team has some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)*
- 1: The project team has limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Evidence:

A través del proyecto, se brindan las condiciones para que las víctimas y comparecientes se acerquen a las sedes territoriales de la JEP para brindar información y hacer parte de los procesos que allí se llevan. Ha sido importante evaluar el nivel de satisfacción que percepción de las víctimas sobre los procesos de relacionamiento que han tenido con la Unidad de Investigación y Acusación de la JEP, los aportes a sus derechos que se han realizado. Por esta razón, se llevó a cabo durante el primer semestre del 2022 una encuesta a 400 personas a nivel territorial para medir los niveles de satisfacción sobre las prácticas de relacionamiento con las víctimas para que ellas puedan acceder a la UIA y la JEP y hacer efectivos sus derechos; al mismo tiempo busca identificar buenas prácticas y recibir recomendaciones para la profundización de los procesos de interlocución y atención. Esta encuesta se diseñó para obtener información con enfoque de género que permitió el reconocimiento y la participación de las identidades y diversidades víctimas del conflicto armado identificando como fortalezas del enfoque de género la participación de mujeres indígenas desde la diferencia, reconociendo como herramientas de divulgación y apropiación del conocimiento asociadas a los grupos de género y evidenciando la participación de la diversidad de identidades de género y orientaciones sexuales. Con esta información, se avanzará en el fortalecimiento de acciones para la atención a las víctimas aplicando rutas con enfoque de género y priorizar su atención en el marco de la justicia restaurativa y contribuya de manera transversal a reducir las desigualdades de género y empoderar a las mujeres que especialmente han accedido a los mecanismos de justicia de la JEP. Adicionalmente, se evidencia la contribución al indicador de género dado que las acciones que se realizan en el marco del proyecto fortalecen la participación y visibilizan a las mujeres y la población LGTBIQ+ en los procesos que realiza la JEP incentivando el acceso a los canales desarrollados territorialmente para su acceso.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	6.Resultadosencuestapercepciónenfoquegénero_13849_206 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/6.Resultadosencuestapercepciónenfoquegénero_13849_206.pdf)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:15:00 AM

7. Are social and environmental impacts and risks being successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks are tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for Substantial and High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there has been a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP is updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: *Social and environmental risks are tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for Substantial and High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project is categorized as Low risk through the SESP.*
- 1: Social and environmental risks have not been tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High, Substantial, and Moderate Risk there is no evidence that social and environmental assessments have been completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There have been substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP has not been updated. (any may be true)

Evidence:

Periódicamente se verifica la implementación del plan de manejo ambiental y social así como las buenas prácticas medio ambientales en las oficinas territoriales de la UIA que están siendo fortalecidas. Esto incluye campañas para promover el teletrabajo, plan de selección de residuos para contribuir con el reciclaje y campañas para el manejo de archivos digitales evitando el uso de papel para impresión.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	7.R.ambientales_13849_207 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/7.R.ambientales_13849_207.pdf)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:15:00 AM

8. Are grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and are grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm is effectively mitigated?

- 3: Project-affected people have been actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project is categorized as High, Substantial, or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project-level grievance mechanism is in place and project affected people informed. If grievances have been received, they are effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- 2: *Project-affected people have been informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project is categorized as Substantial or High Risk through the SESP, a project-level grievance mechanism is in place and project affected people informed. If grievances have been received they are responded to but face challenges in arriving at a resolution.*
- 1: Project-affected people not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances have been received they are not responded to. (any may be true)

Evidence:

En las actividades de seguimiento del proyecto, se tratan temas que pueden contribuir a identificar los riesgos sociales y ambientales que se puedan presentar, especialmente porque el proyecto tiene primordialmente una intervención territorial. De manera directa, el proyecto recibe a través de correos electrónicos acciones de mejora sugeridas por los equipos territoriales de la JEP si se presentan dificultades en la atención de las víctimas y comparecientes y se definen una ruta para atender y superar la dificultad para garantizar el acceso efectivo a la justicia.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	8.CompromisosSyA_13849_208 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/8.CompromisosSyA_13849_208.docx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:16:00 AM

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: **Satisfactory**

9. Is the project's M&E Plan sufficient and adequately implemented?

- 3: The project has a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones are fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF is being reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, including during evaluations and/or After Action Reviews, are used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project has a costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets are populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF is collected on a regular basis, although there may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources are not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, meet most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned have been captured but may not have been used to take corrective actions yet. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project has an M&E Plan, but costs are not clearly planned and budgeted for, or are unrealistic. Progress data is not being regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations may not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned are rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project does not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

En el proyecto se cuenta con un Plan de M&E presu puestado y se realiza seguimiento periódico revisando que las actividades que se desarrollan efectivamente contribuyan a los productos definidos y los resultados planeados. Los datos de progreso con respecto a los indicadores del proyecto se recopilan de manera semestral y se consolidan en los Informes Semestrales que se elaboran. Frente a las lecciones aprendidas, estas se consolidan semestralmente en ejercicios que se realizan con los equipos de la UIA/JEP de identificación de aspectos a mejorar no solamente en las reuniones semanales de seguimiento sino en otros ejercicios que se realizan desarrollando la programación de las actividades y revisiones financieras.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	9.1Matrizseguimientoa30_06_2022_13849_209 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/9.1Matrizseguimientoa30_06_2022_13849_209.xlsx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:17:00 AM

10. Is project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) functioning as intended?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism is operating well, and is a model for other projects. It has met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings are on file. There is regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviews and uses evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: *The project's governance mechanism has met in the agreed frequency and the minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report has been submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once in the past year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)*
- 1: The project's governance mechanism has not met in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent is not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Evidence:

Semanalmente se llevan a cabo comités técnicos para realizar seguimiento de las actividades desarrolladas, al presupuesto y estados de procesos de contratación, pagos y avance en los contratos que se están ejecutando. El comité directivo ha sido reprogramado en dos ocasiones, pero se tiene previsto que durante el trimestre en curso se reúna dicha instancia.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	10.MemoriasCT-copia_13849_210 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/10.MemoriasCT-copia_13849_210.pdf)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:17:00 AM

11. Are risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- 3: The project has actively monitored risks every quarter including consulting with key stakeholders, including security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks and to assess if the main assumptions remain valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures are being fully implemented to address each key project risk, and have been updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project has monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates have been made to management plans and mitigation measures.*
- 1: The risk log has not been updated as required. There may be some evidence that the project has monitored risks (including security risks or incidents) that may affect the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions have been taken to mitigate risks. In the case of a deteriorating security environment, no consultation has occurred with the UNDP Security Office on appropriate measures.

Evidence:

Los riesgos se monitorean frecuentemente teniendo en cuenta aquellos que tienen relación con la operación de las sedes que están ubicadas en los territorios y la programación de las actividades con población víctima para fortalecer su participación ante la UI A/JEP. Frente a los riesgos administrativos y financieros, semanalmente se realiza en las reuniones y comités técnicos que se llevan a cabo periódicamente para tomar las acciones necesarias y no retrasar el cronograma de las actividades.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PPM_ProjectRiskRegisterDescriptionandOfflineTemplateProyecto132020Rev190922MAGM_13849_211 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PPM_ProjectRiskRegisterDescriptionandOfflineTemplateProyecto132020Rev190922MAGM_13849_211.docx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	9/26/2022 2:37:00 PM

Efficient**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

12. Adequate resources have been mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

Si se han movilizado los recursos de manera suficiente para lograr los resultados previstos. En el marco del proyecto se realiza seguimiento mensual al plan de adquisiciones y se identifica las acciones que se deben desarrollar para avanzar en los compromisos de los recursos. Adicionalmente, en reuniones periódicas se definen las actividades y si se requiere reasignar partidas presupuestales o adicionar recursos al proyecto si se requiere. Durante la vigencia del proyecto, la JEP ha confirmado la disponibilidad de recursos para mantener el proyecto y se espera adicionar más recursos continuar apoyando la gestión territorial en los territorios ya definidos y nuevos que permitan contribuir al logro de los productos definidos.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	12.Memoriadefiniciónnuevosapoyos28_08_2022_13849_212 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/12.Memoriadefiniciónnuevosapoyos28_08_2022_13849_212.pdf)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:19:00 AM

13. Are project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- 3: *The project has an updated procurement plan. Implementation of the plan is on or ahead of schedule. The project quarterly reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addresses them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project has an updated procurement plan. The project annually reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addresses them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project does not have an updated procurement plan. The project may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner, however management actions have not been taken to address them.

Evidence:

El proyecto cuenta con un Plan de Adquisiciones actualizado mensualmente con el objetivo de realizar seguimiento a los contratos formalizados, gastos en las actividades territoriales y planear nuevos compromisos. Los cuellos de botella se identifican de manera semanal y se toman acciones de inmediato para no afectar el desarrollo de actividades y el logro de los resultados.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	13.Plandeadquisiciones2022_13849_213 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/13.Plandeadquisiciones2022_13849_213.xlsx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:20:00 AM

14. Is there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies taking into account the expected quality of results?

- 3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviews costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximizes results that can be delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinates with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and seek efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)
- 2: *The project monitors its own costs and gives anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there is no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinates activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.*
- 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitors its own costs and is considering ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

Evidence:

El proyecto monitorea periódicamente los costos y lleva un control diario de los compromisos y la ejecución que se realiza. Este monitoreo lo realiza realizando un control de los compromisos del proyecto y cruza la información con los documentos para pagos, pagos efectivos y saldos en las PO. Para garantizar la calidad esperada de los productos, el equipo de proyecto junto con el equipo de la JEP realiza reuniones periódicas con proveedores, organizaciones y consultores para revisar la calidad requerida en cada uno de los entregables. Las actividades de apoyo a la UIA/JEP son muy específicas y se centran en garantizar la operatividad de las sedes a nivel nacional de las sedes, así que no es factible combinar actividades de implementación con otros proyectos.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Copiade2.DeliveryMensual2022-08PROYECTOSDIANAFRANCOCEV-JEP_13849_214 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QA/FormDocuments/Copiade2.DeliveryMensual2022-08PROYECTOSDIANAFRANCOCEV-JEP_13849_214.xlsx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	9/26/2022 2:41:00 PM

Effective**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

15. Is the project is on track to deliver its expected outputs?

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

Las actividades definidas en el proyecto están enca minadas a cumplir los objetivos definidos. Para gara ntizar lo anterior, conjuntamente los equipos del PN UD y la JEP al comenzar el 2022 se planearon las a ctividades y se construyó el plan de adquisiciones. Periódicamente se realiza seguimiento para monitor ear el cumplimiento de los resultados. Se adjuntan c omo evidencia los reportes de resultados que se ela borar de manera periódica (semestral).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	INFORMETÉCNICOSEMESTRALa30junio_22ProyectoNo.132020dpf4_09_22_13849_215 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/INFORMETÉCNICOSEMESTRALa30junio_22ProyectoNo.132020dpf4_09_22_13849_215.pdf)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	9/26/2022 2:43:00 PM

16. Have there been regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project is on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- 3: *Quarterly progress data has informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented are most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations and/or After Action Reviews) have been used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions have been made. (both must be true)*
- 2: There has been at least one review of the work plan per year to assess if project activities are on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned has been used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs are delivered on time, no link has been made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management has taken place over the past year.

Evidence:

El cumplimiento al Plan de Trabajo se realiza periódicamente para reportar los avances en los productos definidos y en el cumplimiento de las actividades de sarrolladas. Si se evidencia algún retraso, en las reuniones de seguimiento que se realizan entre el equipo de la JEP y el PNUD se presentan las alarmas necesarias para activar la realización o cambio de las actividades para cumplirlas. Adicionalmente, se hacen revisiones presupuestales entre los equipos financieros de la JEP y del PNUD donde se cruzan las programaciones de los gastos que se encuentran definidos en el Plan de Adquisiciones, el Plan de Trabajo y la ejecución que refleja el sistema Atlas.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	16.Matrizseguimientoa30_06_2022_13849_216 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/16.Matrizseguimientoa30_06_2022_13849_216.xlsx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:22:00 AM
2	16.Plandetrabajo132020_13849_216 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/16.Plandetrabajo132020_13849_216.docx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:23:00 AM

17. Are targeted groups being systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results are achieved as expected?

- 3: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project’s area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups are being reached as intended. The project has engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected and adjustments were made if necessary to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project’s area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There has been some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project does not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There may have been some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they are benefiting as expected, but it has been limited or has not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Desde el proyecto, se ha consolidado una base de beneficiarios (víctimas y comparecientes) que se han entrado al sistema de la JEP desde los territorios que está caracterizada con información relacionada con hecho victimizante, información general de la víctima, grupo específico al que pertenece y el área geográfica donde se realizó el denunciado hecho y su ubicación real.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	17.Listabeneficiarios_13849_217 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/17.Listabeneficiarios_13849_217.xlsx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:24:00 AM

Sustainability & National Ownership

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

18. Are stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 2: *National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used to implement and monitor the project, but other support (such as country office support or project systems) may also be used if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)*
- 1: There is relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

La modalidad de implementación de este proyecto corresponde a Apoyo a NIM, en este sentido la JEP es la principal organización responsable por el logro de los resultados, la toma de decisiones y el seguimiento del Proyecto. Adicionalmente, los equipos internamente realizan las consultas correspondientes a sus superiores de las acciones que se van a implementar para tener pleno conocimiento y obtener retroalimentación siendo parte activa de la implementación. Lo anterior, se evidencia en el acompañamiento a reuniones que se programan periódicamente.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	18.Memoriasreuniones_13849_218 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/18.Memoriasreuniones_13849_218.pdf)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	8/31/2022 5:24:00 AM
2	INFORMETÉCNICOSEMESTRALa30junio_22ProyectoNo.132020dpf4_09_22_13849_218 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/INFORMETÉCNICOSEMESTRALa30junio_22ProyectoNo.132020dpf4_09_22_13849_218.pdf)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	9/26/2022 2:44:00 PM

19. There is regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed. The [implementation arrangements](#)⁵ have been adjusted according to changes in partner capacities.

- 3: In the past two years, changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems have been comprehensively assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements have been formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (both must be true)
- 2: *In the past two years, aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have been monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment has been made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (both must be true)*
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

A través del proyecto se está fortaleciendo la capacidad instalada de la JEP a nivel territorial para el despliegue de sus oficina territoriales con el fin de brindar servicios de asesoría, acompañamiento y atención integral a las víctimas y demás intervinientes en los procesos judiciales que adelanta la entidad. Actualmente, las acciones del proyecto permiten garantizar la operación del 80% de la presencia territorial de la entidad a nivel nacional. Se espera en el mediano plazo que el Proyecto logre una mayor cobertura que permita alcanzar el 100% de esta capacidad instalada.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	INFORMETÉCNICOSEMESTRALa30junio_22ProyectoNo.132020dpf4_09_22_13849_219 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/INFORMETÉCNICOSEMESTRALa30junio_22ProyectoNo.132020dpf4_09_22_13849_219.pdf)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	9/26/2022 2:54:00 PM

20. The transition and phase-out arrangements are reviewed regularly and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitments and capacity).

- 3: The project’s governance mechanism has reviewed the project’s sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan has been adjusted according to progress as needed. (both must be true)
- 2: *There has been a review of the project’s sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.*
- 1: The project may have a sustainability plan, but there has not been a review of this strategy since it was developed. Also select this option if the project does not have a sustainability strategy.

Evidence:

El Proyecto ha definido un Plan de sostenibilidad y una estrategia de salida que brinda aspectos institucionales y financieros para garantizar la presencia territorial de la JEP, permitiendo fortalecer la capacidad investigativa de la UIA y la infraestructura instalada que permita que el proyecto en el mediano plazo enfoque su intervención en el acompañamiento de las víctimas y los mecanismos de participación ante la Jurisdicción.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	REUNIONDECOMITEDIRECTIVO14_09_22_13849_220 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/REUNIONDECOMITEDIRECTIVO14_09_22_13849_220.docx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	9/26/2022 2:57:00 PM
2	BorradorNuevoAcuerdoRevA132020-ProdDocFINAL-RE2MAG14_09_2022_13849_220 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/BorradorNuevoAcuerdoRevA132020-ProdDocFINAL-RE2MAG14_09_2022_13849_220.docx)	diana.paola.franco@undp.org	9/26/2022 3:01:00 PM

QA Summary/Project Board Comments